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#### Abstract

Here we report structure-activity relationship study of a novel hybrid series of compounds where structural alteration of aromatic hydrophobic moieties connected to the piperazine ring and bioisosteric replacement of the aromatic tetralin moieties were carried out. Binding assays were carried out with HEK-293 cells expressing either D 2 or D 3 receptors with tritiated spiperone to evaluate inhibition constants $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. Functional activity of selected compounds in stimulating GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding was assessed with CHO cells expressing human D2 receptors and AtT-20 cells expressing human D3 receptors. SAR results identified compound ( - )-24c (D301) as one of the lead molecules with preferential agonist activity for D 3 receptor $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}) ; \mathrm{D} 3=\right.$ 0.52 nM ; D2/D3 $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ : 223). Compounds ( - )-24b and ( - )-24c exhibited potent radical scavenging activity. The two lead compounds, $(-) \mathbf{- 2 4 b}$ and $(-)-\mathbf{2 4 c}$, exhibited high in vivo activity in two Parkinson's disease (PD) animal models, reserpinized rat model and 6-OHDA induced unilaterally lesioned rat model. Future studies will explore potential use of these compounds in the neuroprotective therapy for PD.


## Introduction

Parkinson's Disease $\left(\mathrm{PD}^{a}\right)$ is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by degeneration of the nigrostriatal dopaminergic pathway. It is estimated that PD affects approximately $1 \%$ of people older than 65 years of age. It is primarily a sporadic disorder, although a rare subset of population $(<10 \%)$ acquires this disease due to several genetic defects. ${ }^{1,2}$ Some of the symptoms associated with PD involve rigidity, bradykinesia, resting tremor, and postural instability along with cognitive and psychiatric complications. ${ }^{3-5}$ The neuropathological hallmark of PD is the presence of Lewy bodies $(\mathrm{LB})$ in the surviving neurons of the substantia nigra. ${ }^{6,7}$ The etiology of PD is not fully understood. Both oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction have been strongly implicated in cell death. ${ }^{8-10}$ Recently, $\alpha$-synuclein, a presynaptic protein involved in fibrilization, has been implicated in the pathogenesis of PD. ${ }^{7,11}$ In a rare familial form of PD, a mutation in the $\alpha-$ synuclein gene has been linked to autosomal dominant PD. ${ }^{12,13}$

Levo-DOPA (L-DOPA) has proven to be one of the mainstay therapy for PD. However, prolonged use of L-DOPA gives rise to motor fluctuations with dyskinesias and the decrease in duration of response to a given L-DOPA dose. ${ }^{14}$ Prolong use of L-DOPA also gives rise to "on" and "off" episodes, resulting in additional complications. Dopaminergic agents have been

[^0]used more extensively in the therapy for PD than any other class of drug molecules besides L-DOPA. ${ }^{15-19}$ The dopamine receptor belongs to the class of seven-membered G-protein coupled receptors and has been divided into two main classes as D1-like and D2-like. D1-like receptors include D1 and D5 subtypes, whereas D2, D3, and D4 subtype receptors fall in the D2-like category. ${ }^{20-26}$ This classification is based on distinct physiological and pharmacological properties of these two receptors as stimulation of the D1-type receptor leads to activation of adenylate cyclase, which promotes synthesis of cAMP, whereas D2-type receptor activation leads to inhibition of adenylate cyclase activity. In the CNS, D1-type receptors are located postsynaptically, whereas D2-type receptors are located both pre- and postsynaptically and have a high affinity for dopamine. ${ }^{27}$

Because of the high degree of homology between D2 and D3 receptors, especially in the transmembrane domain binding regions for agonists, it has been challenging to develop agonist with highly selectivity for D3 receptors. ${ }^{28,29}$ D3 preferring agonists have been shown to be neuroprotective in both cell culture and in vivo experiments. ${ }^{30-33}$ Some of the most selective agonist are pramipexole and ropinirole which are used as therapeutic agents in PD. ${ }^{34,35}$ However, the neuroprotective property of pramipexole has been shown to be complex and mediated by both dopamine- and nondopamine-dependent pathways. ${ }^{33,36}$ Recently, another D3 preferring agonist, [(+)-trans-3,4,4a,5,6,10b-hexahydro-9-carbamoyl-4-propyl-2H-naphth[1,2-b]-1,4-oxazine] (R,R-S32504) has been developed and shown to possess antiparkinsonian and neuroprotective effects. ${ }^{37}$ Interestingly, pramipexole was also shown to be a potent antidepressant agent in PD patient, speculated to be due to its interaction with the D3 receptor in the mesolimbic dopamine pathway which controls behavior and mood. ${ }^{38,39}$


Figure 1. Molecular structure of dopamine D3 receptor preferring agonists and antagonists.

Scheme $1^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $3-5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(o-\mathrm{tol})_{3}\right]_{2}$, NaOt - Bu , diglyme, reflux, 48 h ; (b) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, reflux, 2 h ; (c) piperazine, $i$-propanol, reflux, 4 h ; (d) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ethanol, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, reflux $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) bis-(2-chloroethyl)amine HCl , diglyme, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, reflux, 48 h ; (f) $\mathrm{Boc} 2 \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (g) $n$ - BuLi , trimethyl borate, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (h) 4-bromopyridine, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; (i) TFA/CHCl .

Such antidepressant effect was found to be beneficial for PD patients. Besides developing agonists, there is now a growing interest in developing selective antagonist for the D3 receptor as therapeutic agents for psychiatric diseases and drugs of abuse. Consequently, a large number of antagonists have been developed so far which exhibited varying degree of selectivities. ${ }^{28,40}$

It is increasingly evident that for a complex disease such as PD, a drug targeting only one target site will only partially address the therapeutic need of the disease. Thus it is hypothesized that multifunctional drugs having multiple pharmacological activities will be an effective disease modifying agent in case of PD. ${ }^{41}$ In our effort to produce such agent, we have undertaken one of our goals of introducing antioxidant property in our D2/D3 hybrid agonist template which we established earlier. ${ }^{42,43}$ Recently, we have
reported the development of potent D3 preferring agonists, which exhibited potent in vivo activity in PD animal model experiments. ${ }^{4,45}$ It is believed that the neuroprotective property of pramipexole might originate from its preferential D3 agonist property coupled with its antioxidant activity, although some other mechanisms have also been implicated. ${ }^{46,47}$ We hypothesize that a combination of D3 preferential agonist property along with antioxidant activity in a compound enhances its neuroprotective effect as it will address an underlying oxidative stress in the Parkinsonian brain. The current SAR study expands this line of inquiry by including compounds having bulky hydrophobic $N$-aryl substitutions in the piperazine moiety to explore and map out the binding site interaction further. Our earlier report described the development of a 2-aminothiazole based, high-affinity D3 selective agonist 2

Scheme $2^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) chloroacetonitrile, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene, reflux, 3 h ; (b) Raney nickel, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 60 \mathrm{psi}$, 8 h ; (c) 7-methoxy-2-tetralone, $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, dichloroethane, RT, overnight; (d) propionyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT, 4 h ; (e) LiAlH 4 , THF, reflux, 4 h ; (f) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT , overnight.

Scheme $3^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $n$-propylamine, $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, dichloroethane, RT, overnight; (b) chloroacetyl chloride, TEA, dichloromethane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (c) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, reflux, 2 h ; (e) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, overnight.
(D-264) ${ }^{44}$ which is currently undergoing in vivo evaluation to determine its neuroprotective effect. We introduced quinolines and isoquinolines in the hybrid structure as
analogues of 2. Future studies will indicate whether these derivatives will have better pharmacokinetics properties compared to 2 .

Scheme $4^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-bromoethanol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{AcCN}$, reflux; (b) oxalyl chloride, $\mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, 1,2-dichloroethane.

## Chemistry

Scheme 1 outlines the syntheses of different aryl piperazines $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{f}$ used in the following schemes to synthesize the target compounds. Compound 8a and 8b were synthesized by palladium(II) catalyzed amination reaction with two corresponding aryl halides 1- or 2-bromonaphthalene with excess piperazine. ${ }^{48} 8 \mathrm{c}$ was prepared from commercially available 4hydroxyquinoline by chlorination reaction with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ followed by reflux in $i$-propyl alcohol with excess piperazine for 4 h. ${ }^{49}$ Compound $\mathbf{8 d}$ was synthesized from commercially available 5 -nitroquinoline by reduction in presence of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ in ethanol under refluxing condition for $1.5 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{50}$ followed by reaction of the amino compound $\mathbf{6}$ with bis-(2-chloroethyl)amine HCl in presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ under reflux for $48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{51} \mathbf{8 e}$ was synthesized from 1-chloroisoquinoline by $i$-propyl alcohol reflux in presence of excess piperazine. Compound $\mathbf{8 f}$ was synthesized by reaction of boronic acid intermediate $\mathbf{7 b}$ with 4-bromopyridine in the presence of palladium catalyst.

Scheme 2 depicts the synthesis of two target compounds $\mathbf{1 4 a}-\mathbf{b}$. Aryl piperazine $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{b}$ were $N$-alkylated with chloroacetonitrile under reflux in presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base to synthesize intermediate $\mathbf{9 a}-\mathbf{b}$, followed by Raney nickel catalyzed hydrogenation to yield $\mathbf{1 0 a}-\mathbf{b}$, which was subjected to reductive amination condition in presence of 7-methoxy-2tetralone to yield intermediates 11a-b. $N$-Alkylation of $\mathbf{1 1 a} \mathbf{- b}$ with propionyl chloride in presence of triethyl amine as base yielded $\mathbf{1 2 a} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{b}$, which was reduced to the corresponding amines 13a-b using $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ as reducing agent. The target compounds $\mathbf{1 4 a}-\mathbf{b}$ were obtained by demethylation of $\mathbf{1 3 a}-\mathbf{b}$ using 1 M solution of borontribromide in dichloromethane at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature for overnight.

The syntheses of compounds $\mathbf{2 0 a}-\mathbf{d}$ are shown in Scheme 3. 5- or 7-Methoxy 2-tetralone was reacted with $n$-propyl
amine under reductive amination condition to yield $\mathbf{1 6 a}-\mathbf{b}$. Enantiomerically pure (-)-16b was obtained from racemic 16b using a synthetic chiral resolving agent following previously reported procedure. ${ }^{52,45} \mathrm{~N}$-alkylation of $\mathbf{1 6 a} \mathbf{- b}$ and $(-)-\mathbf{1 6 b}$ using chloroacetyl chloride in presence of triethyl amine produced intermediate $\mathbf{1 7 a}-\mathbf{b}$ and $(-) \mathbf{- 1 7 b}$, which were coupled with different aryl piperazines $\mathbf{8 c}-\mathbf{e}$ to yield $\mathbf{1 8 a}-\mathbf{d}$ and $(-)-\mathbf{1 8 d}$ in moderate to good yield. Reduction of the amide group of $\mathbf{1 8 a}-\mathbf{d}$ using $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ followed by demethylation using boron tribromide at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded the target compounds 20a-d and (-)-20d.

Scheme 4 depicts the synthesis of $(S)-(-)$-pramipexole hybrid analogues 24a-24d. Alkylation of $\mathbf{8 c}-\mathbf{f}$ with 2-bromoethanol produced 21a-d, which were oxidized under Swern oxidation conditions to the arylpiperazine acetaldehydes 22a-d. $S$-(-)-Pramipexole (23) was further condensed with 22a-d under reductive amination conditions ( NaCN $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ as the reducing agent) to give hybrid analogues $\mathbf{2 4 a}-\mathbf{d}$.

## Discussion

Our earlier SAR studies with hybrid compounds produced ligands which exhibited potent and selective agonist activity at D3 receptor. Our two starting piperazine hybrid compounds based on 7-OH-DPAT and 5-OH-DPAT were 1 (D-315) ${ }^{53}$ and 4 (D-237) (Figure 1). ${ }^{45}$ These first generation hybrid compounds maintained similar affinities and selectivities for D2/D3 receptors as their parent nonhybrid counterpart. Further expansion of SAR studies led to the development of compounds 2 and 3 (D-366), ${ }^{53}$ which exhibited high and selective affinity for the D3 receptor. ${ }^{44,53} \mathbf{2}$ was among the most potent and selective agonist for the D3 receptor developed so far. Our SAR studies indicated that the $N$-piperazinyl substituent could be modified by introducting biphenyl and
indole substituents without compromising affinity for the D3 receptor. It is important to point out here that for development of D3 antagonist, one of the frequently used molecular template consists of amide-piperazine moiety separated via a linker where bulky aromatic substituents have been introduced mainly on the amide moiety. ${ }^{28}$ The compound BP 897 is one of the prototypical example of a compound developed from this template.

In our current SAR study, we wanted to see the influence of different piperazynyl N -aryl or heteroaryl moieties on interaction with dopamine D2 and D3 receptors. Our previous report indicated that bulky hydrophobic substituents attached to the piperazine ring are well tolerated, as $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ retained affinity and were selective for D3. Here we wanted to explore this further with naphthalene and quinoline substituents. Our initial design to incorporate naphthalalen-1yl and naphthalene2 yl substituents led to development of compounds $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 b}$. Compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ a showed a 3 - to 4 -fold increase in binding affinity for $\mathrm{D} 2 / \mathrm{D} 3\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ for $\mathrm{D} 2=13.5 \mathrm{nM}, K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\left.\mathrm{D} 3=0.435 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, accompanied by increased selectivity for D3 (D2/D3 = 31.0) compared to $\mathbf{1}$. Compound $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ exhibited a binding affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 2=8.85 \mathrm{nM}, K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 3=0.685 \mathrm{nM}$ ) similar to that of $\mathbf{1 4 a}$, however, its selectivity $(\mathrm{D} 2 / \mathrm{D} 3=12.9)$ was reduced compared to that of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 a}$. This result indicated that a bulkier $N$-4-substituted group in the piperazine ring is well tolerated by dopamine D2/D3 receptors. Next, we wanted to introduce a heteroatom in the naphthalene moiety producing different quinoline derivatives. Compound 20a with an $N$ -quinoline-4yl substitution in the piperazine ring displayed no further increase in binding potency ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 2=7.08 \pm 0.34, K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 3=2.65 \pm 0.65 \mathrm{nM}$ ) compared to $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ or $\mathbf{1 4 b}$, but its binding potency was still greater than that of $\mathbf{1}$ although its selectivity for D3 over D2 was reduced (D2/D3 = 2.67). The other regioisomer 20b, which is a quinoline-5yl derivative, exhibited comparable low nanomolar binding affinity for D2/D3 receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 2=5.22 \mathrm{nM}, K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 3=1.27 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Both 20a and 20b were not highly selective for the D3 receptor. Another compound of this series was 20c, an isomeric isoquinoline-1yl derivative displaying subnanomolar binding potency at D3 receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.44 \mathrm{nM}$ ) while it was less potent at D 2 receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=21.7 \mathrm{nM}$ ) compared to 20a and 20b. Consequently, 20c exhibited the highest selectivity for D3 receptors (D2/ D3 $=49.2$ ) in this series of compounds. Subsequently, the positional effect of an aromatic 5-hydroxyl functionality in the aminotetraline moiety was evaluated on binding affinity and selectivity. Thus, compounds 20d and its $S(-)$ enantiomers (20e) were synthesized. Compound 20d showed increased binding affinity at both D 2 and D 3 receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 2=$ $4.89 \mathrm{nM}, K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 3=0.40 \mathrm{nM}$ ), although its selectivity for D3 receptors $(\mathrm{D} 2 / \mathrm{D} 3=12.22)$ did not increase appreciably. The enantiomerically pure compound 20 e exhibited increased potency at both $\mathrm{D} 2\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=3.74 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $\mathrm{D} 3\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.19 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ receptors compared with its racemic counterpart, with comparable selectivity for D3 over D2 receptors (D2/D3 $=19.68$ ).

In one of our earlier publications, we reported compound 2 as one of the most potent and selective compounds for the D3 receptor; this compound contains a 2 -aminothiazolidium moiety as bioisosteric replacement of the hydroxyl phenyl moiety in the aminotetraline fragment of the hybrid structure. In designing the next set of compounds $\mathbf{2 4 a}-\mathbf{d}$, we incorporated a 2 -aminothiazolidinium moiety instead. In addition to probing the D3 receptor selectivity for these derivatives, we aimed for improved pharmacokinetic properties by the introduction of the more polar quinoline moiety. Specifically, the

Table 1. Inhibition Constants for Competing for $[3 \mathrm{H}]$ Spiperone Binding to Cloned rat $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ Receptors Expressed in HEK Cells ${ }^{a}$

| compd | $K_{\mathrm{i}},(\mathrm{nM}), \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{~L}$ <br> $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiperone | $K_{\mathrm{i}},(\mathrm{nM}), \mathrm{D} 3$ <br> $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiperone | $\mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{~L} /$ <br> D 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{DPAT}$ | $202 \pm 34$ | $2.35 \pm 0.29$ | 86 |
| $(-)-5-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{DPAT}$ | $58.8 \pm 11.0$ | $1.36 \pm 0.28$ | 43 |
| $\mathbf{4}^{b}$ | $26.0 \pm 7.5$ | $0.825 \pm 0.136$ | 31.5 |
| $\mathbf{2}^{c}$ | $264 \pm 40$ | $0.92 \pm 0.23$ | 253 |
| $\mathbf{1}^{d}$ | $40.6 \pm 3.6$ | $1.77 \pm 0.42$ | 22.9 |
| $\mathbf{3}^{d}$ | $47.5 \pm 6.2$ | $0.570 \pm 0.094$ | 83 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ | $13.5 \pm 3.0$ | $0.435 \pm 0.13$ | 31.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ | $8.85 \pm 1.75$ | $0.685 \pm 0.217$ | 12.9 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 a}$ | $7.08 \pm 0.34$ | $2.65 \pm 0.65$ | 2.67 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ | $5.22 \pm 0.71$ | $1.27 \pm 0.52$ | 4.11 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 c}$ | $21.7 \pm 4.1$ | $0.441 \pm 0.101$ | 49.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 d}$ | $4.89 \pm 0.20$ | $0.40 \pm 0.09$ | 12.23 |
| $(-) \mathbf{- 2 0 d}$ | $3.74 \pm 0.70$ | $0.19 \pm 0.03$ | 19.68 |
| $(-) \mathbf{- 2 4 a}$ | $109 \pm 14$ | $2.61 \pm 0.18$ | 41.8 |
| $(-) \mathbf{- 2 4 b}$ | $57.7 \pm 3.3$ | $1.21 \pm 0.16$ | 47.7 |
| $(-) \mathbf{- 2 4 c}$ | $269 \pm 16$ | $2.23 \pm 0.60$ | 121 |
| $(-) \mathbf{- 2 4 d}$ | $270 \pm 28$ | $4.78 \pm 0.89$ | 56.5 |

${ }^{a}$ Results are means $\pm$ SEM for $3-5$ independent experiments each performed in triplicate. ${ }^{b}$ See ref 45 . ${ }^{c}$ See ref 44 . ${ }^{d}$ See ref 53
( - -isomers of these compounds were made, as we have shown previously that activity is increased in the ( - )-isomers in the 2-aminothiazolidinium series of compounds (Table 1). ${ }^{44}$ Compound 24a, which is a quinoline-4yl analogue, exhibited moderate binding affinity for D2 receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=109 \mathrm{nM}$ ), while the binding affinity toward $\mathrm{D} 3\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=2.61 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ receptor was in the low nanomolar range with selectivity for D3 over D 2 receptors ( $\mathrm{D} 2 / \mathrm{D} 3=41.8$ ), higher than that for the corresponding 20a. Compound 24c, an isoquinoline-1yl derivative, exhibited approximately 2-fold less potency at $\mathrm{D} 2\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=269\right.$ nM ) and identical affinity at $\mathrm{D} 3\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=2.23 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ receptors compared to 24a. Thus, compound 24c displayed the highest selectivity (D2/D3 = 121) for D3 receptor in the current series of compounds. The other thiazolidinium compound in this series was quinoline-5yl derivative 24b, which displayed high binding affinity for both D2 and D3 receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 2=$ $57.7 \mathrm{nM}, K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{D} 3=1.21 \mathrm{nM}$ ) with appreciable selectivity for D3 over D2 receptors (D2/D3 $=47.7$ ). Next we designed and synthesized compound 24d, consisting of a linearly fused 4-(pyridine-4-yl)-phenyl moiety. This compound could retain its binding affinity at D2 and D3 receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=270 \mathrm{nM}$ and 4.78 nM for D2 and D3 receptors, respectively) and was moderately selective for D3 over D2 receptors (D2/D3 = 56.5). Collectively, the current results are consonant with the existence of hydrophobic interactions resulting from bulky substitutions on the piperazine N -atom.

Following binding analysis, selected compounds 24c, 24b, and 24a were subjected to the [35S]GTP $\gamma$ S functional assay for D2 and D3 receptors and compared with the full agonist dopamine. The assays were carried out with the cloned human D2 and D3 receptors expressed in CHO and AtT cells as described by us earlier. ${ }^{45}$ All three compounds exhibited high potency for the D 3 receptor in the subnanomolar range $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right.$; $0.52,0.52$, and 0.49 nM , respectively) (Table 2). Similar to what was found in the D2 binding assays, isoquinoline derivative $\mathbf{2 4 c}$ exhibited the lowest potency for D 2 receptors in the functional assays with $[35 \mathrm{~S}] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} ; 116 \mathrm{nM}\right)$; it was also the most selective for D3 (D2/D3 ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ ratio) $=$ 223), and this selectivity was comparable to our previously developed 2 (D2/D3 (EC 50 ratio) = 248). Like compounds $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 4 c}$, compound $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ was potent at $\mathrm{D} 3\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}: 0.49 \mathrm{nM}\right)$

Table 2. Stimulation of $[35 \mathrm{~S}] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ Binding to Cloned Human D2 Receptor Expressed in CHO Cells and Cloned Human D3 Receptor Expressed in AtT-20 Cells ${ }^{a}$

| compd | CHO-D2 |  | AtT-D3 |  | D2/D3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})[35 \mathrm{~S}] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ | $\% E_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})[35 \mathrm{~S}] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ | \% $E_{\text {max }}$ |  |
| dopamine | 209 (4) $\pm 29$ | 100 | $8.53 \pm 0.62$ | 100 | 24.5 |
| ropinirole | $304 \pm 11$ | $83.9 \pm 0.3$ | $19.2 \pm 5.1$ | $91.6 \pm 0.5$ | 15.83 |
| 24c | $116 \pm 16$ | $88.4 \pm 3.9$ | $0.520 \pm 0.16$ | $95.1 \pm 2.1$ | 223 |
| 24b | $13.5 \pm 4.3$ | $104 \pm 2$ | $0.528 \pm 0.077$ | $101 \pm 2$ | 25 |
| 24a | $77.2 \pm 8.3$ | $118 \pm 4$ | $0.493 \pm 0.12$ | $96.8 \pm 4.4$ | 156 |
| 5-OH-DPAT | $41.2 \pm 6.0$ | $80.0 \pm 4.4$ | $1.23 \pm 0.53$ | $91.2 \pm 1.0$ | 33.49 |
| 2 | $19.9 \pm 0.9$ | $119 \pm 6$ | $0.085 \pm 0.016$ | $102 \pm 19$ | 248 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ is the concentration producing half-maximal stimulation; for each compound, maximal stimulation $\left(E_{\max }\right)$ is expressed as percent of the Emax observed with $1 \mathrm{mM}(\mathrm{D} 2)$ or $100 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{D} 3)$ of the full agonist $\mathrm{DA}\left(\% E_{\max }\right)$. Results are means $\pm$ SEM for 3-5 independent experiments each performed in triplicate.


Figure 2. DPPH radical scavenging activity by 24b, 24c, and ascorbic acid.
and was less potent at $\mathrm{D} 2\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}: 77 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Compound 24a was the second best selective compound for D3 receptor. Compound $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ displayed the highest potency in activating D2 receptors $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} ; 13.5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and was the least selective in activating D3 receptors. In comparison, the reference compound ropinirole displayed much less selectivity for and potency at D3 receptors. As demonstrated by plateaus of activation of $80-119 \%$ of maximal stimulation by DA, all compounds appeared to exert close to full agonism in the [35S]GTP $\gamma$ S assay (Table 2).

Evaluation of Free Radical Scavenging Activity. Scavenging of DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl) radical by $\mathbf{2 4 c}, \mathbf{2 4 b}$, and ascorbic acid was carried out based on published method and is shown in Figure 2. ${ }^{54}$ The scavenging effect is expressed as percent of control. As shown in Figure 2, all three compounds inhibited DPPH radical activity dose dependently. The standard compound ascorbic acid had an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $34.6 \pm 3.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in this assay procedure, whereas the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value for $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ was $39.67 \pm 6.23 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and for $\mathbf{2 4 c} 45.67 \pm$ $6.89 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ( $n=3$ for all compounds). It is evident from the results that the two test compounds are as potent as known antioxidant ascorbic acid.

Reversal of Reserpine-Induced Hypolocomotion in Rats by 24a, 24b, 24c and Ropinirole. Reserpine induces depletion of catecholamines in nerve terminals resulting in a cataleptic condition in rats, which is a well established animal model for PD. ${ }^{55}$ Significant reduction of locomotion of the rats was observed 18 h after the administration of reserpine $(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, sc), which indicated the development of akinesia in rats. Compounds 24c and 24b were highly efficacious in producing complete reversal of reserpine induced hypolocomotion. At a dose of $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}(6.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$, sc, 24b not only reversed the reserpine-induced hypokinetic condition to a level that was similar or higher than that in control (vehicle treated


Figure 3. Effects of different drugs (administered sc) upon reserpine $(5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{sc}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$ pretreatment) )-induced hypolocomotion in rats. Each point is the mean $\pm$ SEM for six rats. Horizontal activity was measured as described under materials and methods section. (A). Representation of horizontal locomotor activity at discrete 30 $\mathbf{m i n}$ intervals after the administration of $\mathbf{2 4 a}, \mathbf{2 4 b}, \mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{c}$, and ropinirole at the dose of $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ compared to control rats in 18 h reserpine post treatment. (B) Representation of cumulative horizontal activity data for 6 h period of observation for saline-control, meaning animals receive saline instead of reserpine, reserpinized control, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ of ropinirole, $\mathbf{2 4 a}, \mathbf{2 4 b}$, and $\mathbf{2 4 c}$. One way ANOVA analysis demonstrates significant effect among treatments: $(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{F}(6.95)=13.69(P<0.0001)$. Dunnett's analysis following ANOVA showed that the effects of $\mathbf{2 4 b}(P<0.01), \mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{c}$ ( $P<0.01$ ), and ropinirole $(P<0.05)$ were significantly different statistically compared to reserpine control but for $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ was not statistically significant $(P>0.05)$.
nonreserpinized rats) rats but also maintained an increased level of locomotor activity of the reserpinized rats significantly throughout the 6 h period (Figure 3A). Subcutaneous


Figure 4. Effect on turning behavior of $(-)-\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and ropinirole in 6OHDA unilaterally lesioned rats studied over 10 hours. Each point is the mean $\pm$ SEM for four rats. All drugs were administered sc immediately before counting rotational activity. One way ANOVA analysis demonstrates a significant effect among treatments: $F(5.95)=16.93$ ( $P<0.0001$ ). Dunnett's analysis shows that the effect of $(-) \mathbf{- 2 4 b}$ at two doses ( 5 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and ropinirole at two doses ( 5 and 10 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg})$ are significantly different compared to vehicle $(P<0.01)$.
administration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}(6.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \mathbf{2 4 c}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} /$ $\mathrm{kg}(2.96 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ ropinirole could restore the locomotion to the normal state. The reference drug ropinirole at a dose of $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ sc exhibited a much shorter duration of action compared to $\mathbf{2 4 b}$; the peak of action of ropinirole was reached within 30 min and the pharmacological action ceased after 150 min . It is evident that out of the three compounds, 24b and 24c exhibited the highest CNS locomotor activity (Figure 3A). On the other hand, compound $\mathbf{2 4 a}(10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg})$ was much less active compared to $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and 24c. Cumulative horizontal activity data for 6 h period of observation for saline-control, meaning animals received saline instead of reserpine, reserpinized control, and 10 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ of ropinirole. $\mathbf{2 4 a}, \mathbf{2 4 b}$, and $\mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{c}$ is presented as a bar graph in Figure 3B.

Effect of 24b, 24c, and Ropinirole in 6-OHDA Lesioned Rats. On the basis of the above locomotor activity results, compounds $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{c}$ were selected for in vivo evaluation in rats carrying a unilateral lesion in the medial forebrain bundle induced by application of the neurotoxin 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA). This results in destruction of dopamine neurons and development of supersensitivity of dopamine receptors on the lesioned side. Such surgically modified rats when challenged with direct acting dopamine agonists produce contralateral rotations away from the lesioned side. This rat model is considered to be one of the standard models for preclinical screening of drugs for possible antiparkinsonian properties. ${ }^{56}$ Both compounds 24b and 24 c produced potent rotational activity in a dose dependent manner when administered subcutaneously. Thus, 24b produced dose dependently contralateral rotations, with the highest dose ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}, 6.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) exhibiting the longest duration of action ( $>10 \mathrm{~h}$ ). At a lower dose ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}, 3.28$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}), \mathbf{2 4 b}$ reached its peak effect at around 5 h and produced a number of total rotations of 2295 , whereas at a higher dose ( $10 \mathrm{umol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) the peak effect was reached at around 7.5 h with 2648 total rotations (Figure 4). It was clear that $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ was more efficacious in producing rotations at a higher dose. However, in the first 360 min , the lower dose of 24b produced significantly higher rotations compared to the higher dose, indicating possible involvement of stereotype at a higher dose. In comparison, compound 24 c when tested at a dose of 10 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}(6.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ produced an even higher number of total


Figure 5. Effect on turning behavior of $(-)-\mathbf{2 4 c}$ and ropinirole in 6OHDA unilaterally lesioned rats studied over seven and a half hours. Each point is the mean $\pm$ SEM for four rats. All drugs were administered sc immediately before counting rotational activity. One way ANOVA analysis demonstrates a significant effect among treatments: $F(5.95)=16.23(P<0.0001)$. Dunnett's analysis shows that the effect of $(-)-\mathbf{2 4 c}$ at two doses ( 5 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and ropinirole at two doses ( 5 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) are significantly different compared to vehicle $(P<0.01)$.
rotations of 3688 with a long duration of action ( $>8$ h), Figure 5. The peak activity for $\mathbf{2 4 c}$ was reached at about 7 h . At the lower dose of $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}(3.16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$, compound $\mathbf{2 4 c}$ produced a lower number of rotations (2805) which lasted for 7 h (Figure 5). It is evident that contrary to stimulating locomotor activity in reserpinized rats, $\mathbf{2 4 c}$ was more efficacious compared to $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ in regard to the total number of rotations produced by respective doses. However, 24b exhibited relatively longer duration of action. The reference drug ropinirole at 5 and 10 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ exhibited shorter duration of action and was less active in producing rotations compared to $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{c}$ at the same respective doses.

## Conclusion

The present study describes the development of compounds with high affinity and selectivity for dopamine D3 receptors. SAR results have demonstrated that isoquinoline derivatives have higher selectivity for D3 receptor. In both binding and functional assays, compound isoquinoline derivative $\mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{c}$ exhibited the highest selectivity for the D3 over D2 receptor. Lead compounds 24b and 24c also exhibited potent free radical quenching property, possibly indicating antioxidant activity. The two lead compounds were tested in two PD animal models. Compound $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ was the most potent in the reserpinized animal model, where it exhibited appreciable locomotor activity, while compound $24 \mathbf{c}$ was as active as ropinirole in this animal model. However, in the 6-OHDA animal model studies, $\mathbf{2 4 c}$ produced the highest number of rotations and was the most efficacious among the three compounds. Compound $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ produced a slightly longer duration of action. Both compounds were more efficacious than ropinirole. Relative contribution of D 2 receptor vs D 3 receptor in reversing reserpine effect and to induce rotations by compounds $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 4 c}$ will be assessed in future. Compounds $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $24 \mathbf{c}$ will next be studied in the in vitro and in vivo neuroprotection experiment model to evaluate their potential as a neuroprotective treatment agent for PD.

## Experimental Section

Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received unless otherwise indicated. Dry solvent
was obtained according to the standard procedure. All reactions were performed under inert atmosphere $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ unless otherwise noted. Analytical silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$-coated TLC plates were obtained from EMD Chemicals, Inc. and were visualized with UV light or by treatment with phosphomolybdic acid (PMA), Dragendorff's reagent, or ninhydrin. Flash column chromatographic purifications were performed using Whatman Purasil 60A silica gel 230-400 mesh. The proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra were measured on a Varian 400 MHz FT NMR spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The NMR solvent used was $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as indicated. Optical rotations were recorded on Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. Melting points were recorded using MEL-TEMP II (Laboratory Devices Inc., USA) capillary melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc. and were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical value.

Procedure A. Preparation of 1-(Naphthalen-1-yl)piperazine (8a). Into a solution of 1-bromo naphthalene ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine ( $9.98 \mathrm{~g}, 115.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of diglyme was added Na - $t$-butoxide ( $4.89 \mathrm{~g}, 43.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for few minutes before the addition of palladium catalyst, dichlorobis(tri-o-tolylphosphine)palladium(II) (0.724 $\mathrm{g}, 0.724 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and refluxed at $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and the diglyme was evaporated under reduced pressure. The solid residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated under educed pressure to get the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (ethylacetate:MeOH 9:1) to yield 1.94 g of pure yellowish oily compound 8a ( $63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $7.68-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.48(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.08-7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(Naphthalen-2-yl)piperazine ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ was synthesized from 2-bromo naphthalene $(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $(6.13 \mathrm{~g}, 71.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure A to afford 1.88 g of oily pure compound $\mathbf{8 b}(63.55 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.72-7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70-$ $7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.41(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09-3.33$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Procedure B. Preparation of 2-(4-(Naphthalen-1-yl)piperazin-$1-\mathrm{yl}$ )acetonitrile (9a). A suspension of 1-(naphthalene-1-yl)-piperazine (8a) ( $1.94 \mathrm{~g}, 9.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( 3.77 g , $27.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 2-chloroacetonitrile ( $1.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene was refluxed for 3 h . Toluene was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water and brine, dried over sodium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), concentrated, and purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane $=1: 1$ ) to afford the product 9 a as a thick yellow solid ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.48(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.08-7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.36(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.81-2.83(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

2-(4-(Naphthalen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetonitrile (9b). Compound $9 \mathbf{b}$ was synthesized from 1-(naphthalene-2-yl)-piperazine ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ) $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-chloropropionitrile ( $1.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.96$ mmol ) according to the procedure B to afford product 9 b as a thick yellow solid ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ ppm 7.72-7.73(d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70-7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.41(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11-7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33-3.36(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.81-2.83(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Procedure C. Preparation of 2-(4-(Naphthalen-1-yl)piperazin$\mathbf{1 - y l}$ )ethanamine (10a). A solution of compound $\mathbf{9 a}$ in methanol $(0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ was hydrogenated in a Parr hydrogenator apparatus in the presence of Raney nickel catalyst at a pressure of 60 psi for 8 h . The reaction mixture was passed through celite,
dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated, and purified over a silica gel column using the solvent system ethyl acetate/methanol/triethylamine ( $80: 15: 5$ ) to afford compound 10a as transparent thick liquid ( $0.823 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.48(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.08-7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.31(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.11-3.15$ (m, 2H), 2.91-2.96(m, 2H), 2.71-2.74 (t, 4H, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

2-(4-(Naphthalen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine (10b). Compound $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ was synthesized from compound $\mathbf{9 b}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure C to afford $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ as transparent thick liquid $(0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $7.72-7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70-7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.41(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11-7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30-3.34(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13-$ 3.14 (bs, 2H), 2.90-2.92 (bs, 2H), 2.62-2.73 (m, 4H).

Procedure D. Preparation of 7-Methoxy- N -(2-(4-(naphthalen-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine (11a). A mixture of compound 10a $(0.823 \mathrm{~g}, 3.22 \mathrm{mmol})$, 7-methoxy-2-tetralone $(0.624 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and glacial acetic acid (HOAc) $(0.19 \mathrm{~mL})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 50 mL ) was stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 20 min . Sodium cyanoborohydride $\left(\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}\right)(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 12.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in a minimum volume of methanol was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere for 12 h . The solvent was evaporated, and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture, which was then extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to afford the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=95: 4: 1$ ) to give the product 11a as brown wax ( $0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-$ $7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08-7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69-7.01$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67-6.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.33(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

7-Methoxy- N -(2-(4-(Naphthalen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-1,2, 3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine (11b). Compound $10 \mathrm{~b}(0.90 \mathrm{~g}$, 3.5 mmol ) was reacted with 7-methoxy-2-tetralone $(0.745 \mathrm{~g}, 4.23$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}(0.866 \mathrm{~g}, 14.99 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOAc $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 50 mL ) to yield $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ brown wax $(0.855 \mathrm{~g}$, $59 \%$ ) (procedure D). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $7.72-7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70-7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68$ (s, 1H), 7.37-7.41 (t, 1H, J=8 Hz), 7.25-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.11$7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69-7.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67-6.70$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.33(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure E. Preparation of N -(7-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahy-dronaphthalen-2-yl)- N -(2-(4-(naphthalen-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)propionamide (12a). Propionyl chloride ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added into a solution of compound $\mathbf{1 1 a}(0.343 \mathrm{~g}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in anhydrous methylene chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and then stirred at room temperature for 4 h . The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with water and brine, and the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated, and purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=\right.$ 95:4:1) to yield 12a as yellow oil ( $0.318 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-$ $7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08-7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69-7.01$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67-6.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ (s, 3H), 3.27-3.33 (t, 4H, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.48-2.93 (m, 13H), $1.95-$ $1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.15-1.19(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

N -(7-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)- N -(2-(4-(naphthalen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)propionamide (12b). Compound 11b $(0.855 \mathrm{~g}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with propionyl chloride ( $0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20$ mL ) (procedure E). The crude product was purified by flash
chromatography using solvent system $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}=90: 10$ to yield pure compound $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ as yellow oil $(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 51.5 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.72-7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70-7.71$ (d, 1H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.41(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-$ $7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69-7.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 6.67-6.70 (d, 1H, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.27-3.33$ (t, 4H, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.15-1.19(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Procedure F. Preparation of 7-Methoxy- N -(2-(4-(Naphthalen-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)- $N$-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphtha-len-2-amine (13a). Compound 12a ( $0.318 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise into a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride $\left(\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}\right)(0.0 .154 \mathrm{~g}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h , cooled to room temperature, and then cooled further to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Saturated $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 mL ) was added dropwise to quench excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$. The mixture was filtered, and the reaction mixture was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford compound 13a as brown wax ( $0.246 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-$ $7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.08-7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69-7.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 6.67-6.70 (d, 1H, J=12 Hz), 6.62 (s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.27$3.33(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.92(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

7-Methoxy- N -(2-(4-(naphthalen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)- N -propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine (13b). Compound 12b $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.243 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following the procedure F . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography using solvent system $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et3N}=95: 4: 1$ to yield compound $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ as brown wax $(0.393 \mathrm{~g}, 80.7 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 7.72-7.73(d, 1H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70-7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.41(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11-7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69-7.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67-$ $6.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.33(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-$ $1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.92(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Procedure G. Preparation of 7-((2-(4-(Naphthalen-1-yl)-piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)(propyl)amino)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphtha-len-2-ol (14a, Hhydrochloride Salt). Boron tribromide (1 M solution in dichloromethane) ( $1.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added into a solution of $13 \mathrm{a}(0.246 \mathrm{~g}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous methylene chloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and was continued overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under vacuum, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}=95: 5$ ) to afford compound 14a as yellowish thick oily ( $0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.45-7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08-7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87-6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.55-6.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.33$ $(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.92(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The product was converted into the corresponding trihydrochloride salt as white solid, mp 202-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right.$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

7-((2-(4-(Naphthalen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)(propyl)amino)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (14b, Hydrochloride Salt). Compound 13b ( $0.393 \mathrm{~g}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with 1 M $\mathrm{BBr}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following the procedure $G$ to furnish $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ as yellow wax $(0.227$ $\mathrm{g}, 59.4 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.72-7.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70-7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.41(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.12$
$(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87-6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.55-6.58$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.33(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.48-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89-0.92(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The product was converted into the corresponding trihydrochloride salt as white solid, mp 208-211 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Chloroquinoline (5). ${ }^{57}$ This intermediate was made according to the reported procedure. ${ }^{49}$ Commercially available 4hydroxyquinoline ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ $(3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ under nitrogen atmosphere and refluxed for 2 h . It was then cooled and concentrated under vacuo. The solid concentrate was taken in a beaker with 100 mL water, neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ powder, and extracted with EtOAc. The solution was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. This crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $=50: 50$ ) to afford compound $\mathbf{5}$ as off white solid ( $0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 81.6 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $8.74-8.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.16-8.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10-$ $8.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71-7.75(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57-7.61$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43-7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Procedure H. Preparation of 4-(Piperazin-1-yl)quinoline (8c). A mixture of 4-chloroquinoline $5(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 56.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in isopropyl alcohol ( 30 mL ) was refluxed for 4 h . The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the solid obtained was dissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL ) and washed with satd $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution followed by water $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to get yellow oily liquid in quantitative yield. It was used without further purification in the next step. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.73-8.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02-8.06(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63-7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83-6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.16-3.18 (m, 8H).

Quinolin-5-amine (6). Into the solution of 5-nitroquinoline $(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute ethanol was added stannous chloride dihydrate ( $10.92 \mathrm{~g}, 43.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was refluxed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to it and refluxed for another 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled, ethanol was evaporated, and the concentrate was dissolved in water. The reaction mixture was made alkaline with $40 \%$ aq NaOH and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ to get a yellow liquid of almost quantitative yield. It was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.89-8.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 8.17-8.19 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57-7.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49-$ $7.53(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34-7.37(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.82-6.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

5-(Piperazin-1-yl)quinoline (8d). 5-Aminoquinoline 6 (2.2 g, $15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis(2-chloroethyl)amine $\mathrm{HCl}(3.27 \mathrm{~g}, 18.3$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were refluxed in diglyme for 2 days. The reaction mixture was cooled and diglyme was evaporated in vacuo. To the solid residue water and ethyl acetate were added and extracted with $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was evaporated to get the crude product which was purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane $/ \mathrm{MeOH}=$ $4: 1$ ) to afford a resinous pure mass. Yield: $56 \% .^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.85-8.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.70-8.71(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80-7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72-7.76(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57-7.60(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34-7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51-3.54(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(Piperazin-1-yl)isoquinoline (8e). It was synthesized following the procedure H to furnish 8 e using 1-chloroisoquinoline 7 $(0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in isopropyl alcohol ( 50 mL ) with quantitative yield of $\mathbf{8 e}$ as a yellow semisolid. It was used without further purification in the next step. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.14-8.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08-8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72-7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57-7.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48-7.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
7.23-7.24 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.39-3.41(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.15-3.18 (t, 4H, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$,), 2.72 (brs, 1 H ).

Procedure I. Preparation of (7-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphthalen-2-yl)-propyl-amine (16a). ${ }^{45}$ 7-Methoxy-2-tetralone $(10 \mathrm{~g}, 56.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetic acid $(13.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 226.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dichloroethane ( 150 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Propyl amine ( $11.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 141.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for $30 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}(8.915 \mathrm{~g}$, $141.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added to the mixture and allowed to stir overnight at ambient temperature. The volatiles were then evaporated, and saturated $\mathrm{NaH}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution was added into the mixture. The compound was then extracted into dichloromethane, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude residue was dissolved in EtOAc, and ethereal HCl was added. The crude salt was filtered and dried in a vacuum oven. The crude salt was then recrystallized from ethanol. The white salt was collected via filtration and dried to yield $9.5 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$ and used in the subsequent transformations. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (free base) $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.95-6.98$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.61(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-$ $2.71(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.38(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.95(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
(5-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphthalen-2-yl)-propyl-amine (16b). Compound 16b was prepared by following the procedure I from 5-methoxy 2-tetralone ( $64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (free base) (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.07-7.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96-6.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65-6.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-3.03$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.70(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.53-$ $2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.964(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Resolution of 5-Methoxy- N -propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaph-thalen-2-amine ( - - $\mathbf{1 6 b}$. Racemic compound $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ was resolved into its $(-)$ isomer by using the $(+)$ isomer of a synthetic resolving agent 4-(2-chlorophenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-2-hydroxy-1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane 2 -oxide. The ( + ) and the ( - ) resolving agents were prepared according to the method of Ten Hoeve and Wynberg. 16b (free base $14.77 \mathrm{~g}, 67.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (+)-4-(2-chlorophenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-2-hydroxy-1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane 2-oxide ( $20.5 \mathrm{~g}, 74.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved by warming in 100 mL of ethanol. The solution was cooled to room temperature and then at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The precipitated crystals were filtered off and washed with cold ether to yield 17.4 g of the salt $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=\right.$ $(-) 1.2^{\circ}, c=1$ in methanol). Further recrystallization ( 2 times) from hot ethanol yielded the salt $\left(12.9 \mathrm{~g},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=(-) 14.1^{\circ}, c=\right.$ 1 in methanol). Further crystallization of the salt from hot ethanol did not change the optical rotation to a significant extent. The salt was then neutralized in presence of $20 \%$ NaOH solution in water under stirred condition for 2 h at room temperature. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness to yield $(-) \mathbf{- 1 6 b}$ as transparent liquid $\left(5.8 \mathrm{~g},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}\right.$ of the white solid, HCl salt of $(-)-\mathbf{1 6 b}=(-) 71.5^{\circ},(c=1$ in methanol $)$. Yield 78.5\%.

Procedure J. Preparation of 2-Chloro- N -(7-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphthalen-2-yl)- N -propyl-acetamide (17a). Compound $\mathbf{1 6 a}(\mathrm{HCl}$ salt, $3.117 \mathrm{~g}, 12.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(8.4 \mathrm{~mL}$, 60.9 mmol ) was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 30 min . Chloroacetyl chloride ( $1.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 20 min , at which time the reaction mixture was poured onto a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the product was extracted with dichloromethane, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (Hex:EtOAc, 3:1) to give $3.42 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ as a transparent liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )) $0.90-0.98$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.61-6.62$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.64-6.77 (m, 1H), 6.96-6.99 $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

2-Chloro- $N$-(5-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphthalen-2-yl)-$N$-propyl-acetamide (17b). This compound was prepared from 16b by following the procedure $\mathrm{J}(93 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 6.96-7.04 (m, 1H), 6.61-6.68 (m, 2H), $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.19-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
(-)-2-Chloro- $N$-(5-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2$\mathbf{y l})-\mathrm{N}$-propylacetamide ( - )-17b. Compound ( - )-16b (1.20 g, 5.47 mmol ) was reacted under similar conditions as reported above (procedure J) to afford the optically pure ( - )-17b as transparent liquid $(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.07-7.15 (m, 1H), 6.65-6.71 (m, 2H), 4.08-4.12 (m, 2H), $3.95-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.15-3.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.89\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{1}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=\right.$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.73(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$,

Procedure K. Preparation of $\boldsymbol{N}$-(7-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahy-dronaphthalen-2-yl)- $N$-propyl-2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetamide (18a). Compound $17 \mathrm{a}(0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 8 c $(0.614 \mathrm{~g}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ were refluxed in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ in presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.635 \mathrm{~g}, 11.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 2 h . The solution was cooled, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was then partitioned between EtOAc and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the organic layer was separated, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc: $\mathrm{MeOH} ; 4: 1)$ to give $0.45 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ as yellow sticky mass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.73-8.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.04-8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99-8.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.64-$ $7.68(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.01-7.03$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.83-6.84 (d, 1H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.62-6.64 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-3.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63$ (brs, 2H), $0.88-0.91(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

N -(7-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-N-propyl-2-(4-(quinolin-5-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetamide (18b). Compound $\mathbf{1 7 a}(0.472 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $\mathbf{8 d}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following the procedure $\mathbf{K}$ to furnish $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ as a yellow sticky mass $(0.240 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.89-8.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48-8.54(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80-7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61-7.65(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37-7.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11-7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.99-7.00(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63$ (brs, 2 H$), 0.88-0.91(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

2-(4-(Isoquinolin-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(7-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)- N -propylacetamide (18c). Compound $17 \mathrm{a}(1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 5.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $8 \mathbf{e}(0.760 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following the procedure $\mathbf{K}$ to furnish 18c as a yellow sticky mass ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.14-8.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08-8.10(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72-7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57-7.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48-7.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23-7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.98-7.02$ (t, 1H, 8 Hz ), 6.64-6.77 (m, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.98$ (m, 3H).

N -(5-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)- N -propyl-2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetamide (18d). Compound $\mathbf{1 7 b}(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $\mathbf{8 c}(0.855 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following the procedure $\mathbf{K}$ to furnish $\mathbf{1 8 d}$ as a yellow sticky mass ( $1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 92.7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.73-8.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.63-7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.07-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 2.63($ brs, 1 H$), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90-0.98$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
(S)-N-(5-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-N-pro-pyl-2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetamide (-)-18d. Compound ( - )-17b $(0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with $\mathbf{8 c}(0.539 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{K}$ to furnish ( - )-18d as a yellow sticky mass $(0.854 \mathrm{~g}, 79.8 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.73-8.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.99-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{Hz}), 7.63-7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.50$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-$ $6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.90-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

7-Methoxy- $N$-propyl- $N$-(2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine (19a). Compound 18a $(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ) by following procedure F to furnish 19 a as a yellow thick liquid $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ ppm 8.72-8.73 (d, 1H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04-8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.01-8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63-7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-$ $7.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.98-7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83-6.84$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67-6.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{brs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.88(\mathrm{~m}$, 10 H ), 2.54-2.64 (m, 4H), 2.05 (brs, 1H), 1.49-1.66 (m, 3H), $0.90-0.94(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

7-Methoxy- $N$-propyl- $N$-(2-(4-(quinolin-5-yl)piperazin-1-yl) ethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine (19b). Compound 18b $(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.097,2.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ) by following procedure F to furnish 19b as a yellow thick liquid $(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 85.9 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.87-8.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50-8.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79-7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60-7.64(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.36-7.39(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12-7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.97-7.00(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.1 .68(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), 1.63 (brs, 2 H ), $0.88-0.91$ (t, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

N -(2-(4-(Isoquinolin-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-7-methoxy- N -propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine (19c). Compound $18 \mathrm{c}(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.570 \mathrm{~g}, 15.02$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 30 mL ) by following procedure F to furnish 19c as a yellow thick liquid ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.14-8.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08-8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72-7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57-7.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.48-7.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23-7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.98-7.02(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.64-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45$ (brs, 4H), 2.78-3.00 (m, 11H), 2.57 (m, 5H), 2.05 (S, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.93(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

5-Methoxy- $N$-propyl- N -(2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl) ethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine (19d). Compound $\mathbf{1 8 d}(1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.654 \mathrm{~g}$, 17.24 mmol ) in THF ( 30 mL ) by following procedure F to furnish 19d as a yellow thick liquid ( $0.325 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.73-8.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99-$ $8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.08-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.71-$ $6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 2.63$ (brs, 1H), 2.05 (m, 2H), 1.65-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.24-1.28 (t, 1H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
(S)-5-Methoxy- $N$-propyl- $N$-(2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-ethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine ( - -19d. Compound (-)-18d ( $0.83 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ( $0.333 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ) by following procedure F to furnish (-)-19d as a yellow thick liquid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.73-8.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99-$ $8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.08-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.71-$ $6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 2.63$ (brs, 1 H ), $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

7-(Propyl(2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)-5,6, 7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (20a, Hydrochloride Salt). Compound $19 \mathrm{a}(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr} 3 /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure G to furnish $\mathbf{2 0 a}$ as a white wax $(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.72-8.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.04-8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01-8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63-$ $7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.89-6.91(\mathrm{~d}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.84-6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63-6.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$ (brs, 4H), 2.99-3.02(m, 1H), $2.73-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.05($ brs, 1 H$), 1.49-$ $1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.94(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

The product was converted into the corresponding hydrochloride salt as white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 225-228^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}, 2.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$

7-(Propyl(2-(4-(quinolin-5-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)-5,6, 7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (20b, Oxalate Salt). Compound $\mathbf{1 9 b}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr} 3 / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.74$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure G to furnish 20b as a white wax ( $0.123 \mathrm{~g}, 63.4 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.87-8.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50-8.52(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79-7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60-7.64(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36-7.39(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12-7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.88-6.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.45$ (m, 15H), 2.04 (brs, 2H), 1.47-1.1.68 (m, 4H), 1.63 (brs, 2H), $0.88-0.91$ (t, 3H, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

The product was converted into the corresponding oxalate salt as a light yellowish solid, mp $155-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{13}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$

7-((2-(4-(Isoquinolin-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)(propyl)amino)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (20c, Hydrochloride Salt). Compound $19 \mathrm{c}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr} 3 / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(8.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure G to furnish 20c as white wax $(0.600 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.10-8.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05-8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72-7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59-7.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49-7.53(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24-7.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.84-6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.64-6.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.47 (brs, 4H), 3.28 (brs, 1H), 3.04-2.66(m, 14H), $2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69$ (brs, 2H), $0.90-0.93(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

The product was converted into the corresponding trihydrochloride salt as white solid, mp $140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}, 1.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$

6-(Propyl(2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)-5,6, 7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-ol (20d, Hydrochloride Salt). Compound $19 \mathrm{~d}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr} 3 /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure G to furnish $\mathbf{2 0 d}$ as white wax $(0.175 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.70-8.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.05-8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99-8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63-$ $7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93-6.97$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83-6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.70-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.59-6.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.94-0.97$ (t, 3H, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

The product was converted into the corresponding hydrochloride salt as white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 223-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(S)-6-(Propyl(2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-ol. [(-)-20d (HydrochlorideSalt)]. Compound ( - )-19d ( $0.193 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with 1 M $\mathrm{BBr}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure G to furnish (-)-20d as white wax $(0.83 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.70-8.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.05-8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99-8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63-$ $7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93-6.97(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83-6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.70-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.59-6.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 2.63($ brs, 1 H$)$, $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.94-0.97(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}) \cdot[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-36^{\circ}\left(c=1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. The product was converted into the corresponding hydrochloride salt as white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 219-222^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-(4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenylboronic Acid (7b). 1-(4-Iodophenyl)-piperazine $\mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in dichloromethane ( 15 mL ) when $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1 equiv) was added dropwise. The clear solution was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent evaporated to obtain
pale-brown semisolid, which was dissolved in absolute EtOH. Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the above ethanolic solution and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min . The solvent was evaporated and the residue taken in dichloromethane, washed with water, and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ with subsequent removal of the solvent to yield tert-butyl 4-(4-iodophenyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate ( 0.56 g , $93 \%$ ), which was used without further purification in the next step.

To a solution of tert-butyl 4-(4-iodophenyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.601 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF was added dropwise 2.5 M nBuLi in hexane solution ( $1.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.381 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture stirred at the same temperature for 30 min. Trimethyl borate ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.381 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added dropwise over 15 min period. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then gradually warmed to RT when it was quenched with 1.2 M HCl solution ( $\mathrm{pH} 5-7$ ) and then extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent evaporated to get the boronic acid $7 \mathrm{~b}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.11-8.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.98-7.0(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61-3.63(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.31-$ $3.33(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-(Pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)piperazine (8f). A mixture of Pd$\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(31.5 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and 4-bromopyridine $\mathrm{HCl}(0.106$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.544 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DME was stirred at RT for 30 min . The suspension of boronic acid $7 \mathbf{b}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.653 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in DME was added to the above solution followed by addition of 2 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( $0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 2$ equiv). The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight, cooled to RT, and the solids filtered. To the filtrate was added satd aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution with subsequent extraction by dichloromethane $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent evaporated in vacuo to get tert-butyl 4-(4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)piperazine1 -carboxylate ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ).

The deprotection of the N -Boc group was done by stirring tert-butyl 4-(4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)-piperazine-1-carboxylate $(0.15 \mathrm{~g})$ in trifluoroacetic acid ( 2 mL ) and chloroform ( 2 mL ). The trifluoro-acetate salt (obtained after the removal of the solvent in vacuo) was converted to the free base using 1 M NaOH aq solution to yield $8 \mathrm{f}(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$ as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.51-8.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50-7.53(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39-7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92-6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16-3.18(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98-3.0(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.89 (brs, 1H).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-(4-Arylpiperazin$\mathbf{1 - y l}$ )ethanols 21a-21d. Into a stirring suspension of 1-arylpiperazine $\mathbf{8 c}-\mathbf{f}$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2 equiv) in acetonitrile, bromoethanol ( 1.2 equiv) and KI (catalytic amt) were added. The mixture was refluxed overnight and cooled to RT. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent evaporated in vacuo to yield oily liquid which was purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane: $\mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5$ ) to obtain pure 21a-d.

2-(4-(Quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (21a). Yield: 71\%, yellow oil, starting with $0.7 \mathrm{~g}(3.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4 -(piperazin-1yl)quinoline 8c. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.73-8.74$ (d, 1H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.06-8.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01-8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.87(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.70-3.73$ (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.30 (brs, 4H), 3.01 (brs, 1H), 2.84 (brs, 2H), 2.70-2.73 (t, 2H, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

2-(4-(Quinolin-5-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (21b). Yield: 67\%, starting with $1.0 \mathrm{~g}(4.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 5 -(piperazin- 1 -yl) quinoline $\mathbf{8 d}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.92-8.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.53-8.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.92(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.64-7.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80-3.84$ (t, 2H, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.13 (brs, 4H), 2.83 (brs, 4H), $2.72-2.78(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

2-(4-(Isoquinolin-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (21c). Yield: 64\%, oil, starting with $1.3 \mathrm{~g}(6.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1-(piperazin-1-yl)isoquinoline 8e. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 8.13-8.14 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07-8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73-7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.58-7.62(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48-7.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24-$ $7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68-3.7(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.46 (brs, 4 H ), $2.80-2.82(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67-2.70(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56$ (brs, 1H).

2-(4-(4-(Pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (21d). Yield: $64 \%$, sticky oil, starting with $0.1 \mathrm{~g}(0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1-(4-(pyridin-4yl)phenyl)piperazine 8 f . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $8.51-8.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50-7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39-$ $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91-6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61-3.64(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.23-3.25(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.0 (brs, 1 H ), $2.63-2.66(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56-2.58(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-(4-Arylpiperazin-1-yl)acetaldehydes 22a-22d. To a solution of oxalyl chloride (2 equiv) in dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dimethyl sulfoxide (4 equiv). The mixture was stirred, and a solution of 2-(4-arylpiperazin-1-yl)ethanol 21 in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise within 5 min . The stirring was continued for $15-20 \mathrm{~min}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (6 equiv), the mixture was allowed to reach to RT and then poured into water ( 25 mL ). The aqueous phase was further extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were concentrated in vacuo, and the residue obtained was stirred with ether ( 20 mL ). The ether solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to yield 2-(4-arylpi-perazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde, which was used without further purification in the next step.

2-(4-(Quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde (22a). Yield: $73 \%$, starting with 0.6 g ( 2.33 mmol ) of 2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol 21a. This compound was found highly unstable on exposure to air and was used in the next step without further purification.

2-(4-(Quinolin-5-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde (22b). Yield: $65 \%$, starting with 0.8 g ( 3.1 mmol ) of 2-(4-(quinolin-5-yl)-piperazin-1-yl)ethanol 21b. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 9.69 (s, 1H), 8.80-8.81 (d, 1H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.40-8.42 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74-7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53-7.57(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28-7.31\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{1}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.05-7.07$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.0-3.1(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=20 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.74-2.77(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

2-(4-(Isoquinolin-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde (22c). Yield: $52 \%$, starting with 0.8 g ( 3.1 mmol ) of 2-(4-(isoquinolin-1-yl) piperazin-1-yl)ethanol 21c. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $9.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99-8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.67-7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52-7.54(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.43-7.46(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18-7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.38-$ $3.44(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.77(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

2-(4-(4-(Pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde (22d). Yield: $70 \%$, starting with $0.6 \mathrm{~g}(2.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-(4-(4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol 21d. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 9.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50-8.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50-$ $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91-6.93$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25-3.28(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.62-2.65(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

General Procedure for the Preparation of $(S)-N^{6}$-Propyl-$N^{6}$-(2-(4-arylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thi-azole-2,6-diamine 24a-24d. To a solution of 2-(4-arylpiperazin1 -yl)acetaldehyde 22 ( 1.5 equiv) in dichloroethane, ( $S$ )-( - )-pramipexole $23\left\{[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-94^{\circ}\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right\}$ was added followed by acetic acid (1 equiv). ( $S$ )-( - ) pramipexole was made according o the reported procedure. ${ }^{44}$ The mixture was stirred for 1 h at RT. $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ (3 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture under vigorous stirring. The contents of the flask were stirred overnight. Saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added and the layers separated. Aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times$ $20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and
the solvent evaporated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography.
( $S$ ) $-N^{6}$-Propyl- $N^{6}$-(2-(4-(quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-4, 5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6-diamine (24a). Yield: 35 mg , starting with 100 mg of $(S)$-(-)-pramipexole 23. Mobile phase used for column chromatographic purification was dichloromethane: MeOH (95:5). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 8.65-8.66(d, 1H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.60$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39-7.43(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77-6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.89 (brs, 2H), 3.20 (brs, 4H), 3.01 (brs, 1H), 2.62$2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.96(\mathrm{brm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-$ 1.71 (m, 1H), $1.39-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-0.86(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-26.0^{\circ}\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The free base was converted to HCl salt as white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=212-214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analysis calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(S)- $N^{6}$-Propyl- $N^{6}$-(2-(4-(quinolin-5-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-4, 5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6-diamine (24b). Yield: 24 mg , starting with 50 mg of $(S)$-(-)-pramipexole 23. Mobile phase used for column chromatographic purification was dichloromethane: $\mathrm{MeOH}(95: 5) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.87-8.89$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{1}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.48-8.51(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.79-7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60-7.64(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36-$ $7.39\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{1}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.12-7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 8 Hz ), 4.84 (brs, 2H), 3.14 (brs, 5 H ), 2.59-2.81 (m, 14H), 2.04$2.07(\mathrm{brm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.9-0.94$ $(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \cdot[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-23.85^{\circ}\left(c=0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The free base was converted to HCl salt as yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analysis calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(S)- $N^{6}$-(2-(4-(Isoquinolin-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)- $N^{6}$-propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo [d]-thiazole-2,6-diamine (24c). Yield: 48 mg , starting with 50 mg of ( $S$ )-( - -)-pramipexole 23. Mobile phase used for column chromatographic purification was dichloromethane: $\mathrm{MeOH}(95: 5) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.06-8.07$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.0-8.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66-7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51-7.55(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41-7.45(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.16-7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.79(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{brs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ (brm, 1H), 2.62-2.74 (m, 9H), 2.43-2.58 (m, 5H), 1.95-1.97 (brm, 1 H$), 1.64-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-0.86(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \cdot[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-30.56^{\circ}\left(c=0.82, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The free base was converted to oxalate salt as white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=100-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analysis calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{20} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(S)- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-Propyl- $N^{6}$-(2-(4-(4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)-ethyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[ $[d]$-thiazole-2,6-diamine (24d). Yield: 20 mg , starting with 35 mg of $(S)-(-)$-pramipexole 23. Mobile phase used for column chromatographic purification was dichloromethane: MeOH ( $95: 5$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $8.51-8.52$ (d, 2H, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.50-7.52 (d, 2H, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.39$7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91-6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65$ (brs, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.23(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.0 (brs, 1 H ), 2.44-2.66 (m, $14 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.99(\mathrm{brm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81-0.85(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .[\mathrm{a}]^{25}=-22.08^{\circ}(c=0.56$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). The free base was converted to HCl salt, off white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=206-210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analysis calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$. $\left.0.5 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Evaluation of Potency in Binding to and Activating Dopamine D2 and D3 Receptors. Binding potency was monitored by inhibition of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiperone ( $15.0 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmole}$, Perkin-Elmer) binding to dopamine rD2 and rD3 receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells in a buffer containing $0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ as described by us previously. ${ }^{44}$ Functional activity of test compounds in activating dopamine hD 2 and hD 3 receptors expressed in CHO and AtT cells, respectively, was measured by stimulation of [35S]GTP $\gamma$ S ( $1250 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmole}$, Perkin-Elmer) binding in comparison to stimulation by the full agonist dopamine as described by us previously. ${ }^{44}$

Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity. DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay. To a 96 well plate, $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of different concentrations of methanolic drug solutions ranging from 20 to $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ were added. Then $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ methanolic solution of DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl) was added and shaken
vigorously at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Control wells received $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methanol and $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methanolic DPPH solution. Wells containing only $240 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methanol served as background for baseline correction. The changes in the absorbance of all the samples and standard (ascorbic acid) were measured at 517 nm . the radical scavenging activity was expressed as the inhibition percentage and was calculated using the following formula: \% scavenging activity $=[($ absorbance of control - absorbance of sample)/absorbance of control] $\times 100 .{ }^{54}$

Animal Experiment. Drugs and Chemicals. The following commercially available drugs were used in the experiment: reserpine hydrochloride (Alfa Aesar) and Ropinirole (Sigma Aldrich). The hydrochloride salts of compounds 24a, 24b, 24c, and ropinirole were dissolved in water for both locomotor and 6-OHDA rotational experiments. Reserpine was dissolved in $10-25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of glacial acetic acid and further diluted with $5.5 \%$ glucose solution. All compounds for this study were administered sc in a volume of 0.1 to 0.2 mL into each rat.

Animals. In rodent studies, animals were male SpragueDawley rats from Harlan (Indianapolis, IN) weighing 220225 g unless otherwise specified. The lesioned rats ( $290-320 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were purchased from Taconic Biotechnology (Rensselaer, NY), and their unilateral lesion was checked twice by apomorphine challenge following the surgery. Animals were maintained in sawdust-lined cages in a temperature and humidity controlled environment at $22 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60 \pm 5 \%$, respectively, with a 12 h light/dark cycle, with lights on from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. They were group housed with unrestricted access to food and water. All experiments were performed during the light component. All animal use procedures were in compliance with the Wayne State University Animal Investigation Committee consistent with AALAC guidelines.

Reversal of Reserpine-Induced Hypolocomotion in Rats. Administration of reserpine induces catalepsy in rodents primarily by blocking the vesicular monoamine trasporter (VMAT), which helps in the internalization of monoamines into vesicles, resulting in metabolism of unprotected monoamines in the cytosol that ultimately causes depletion of monoamines in the synapse of the peripheral sympathetic nerve terminals. ${ }^{55,58}$ The ability of the compounds (24a, 24b, and 24c) to reverse the reserpine induced hypolocomotion was investigated. ${ }^{59}$ Ropinirole was used as standard reference compound in this study. Reserpine ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, sc) or saline (sc) were administered 18 h before the injection of drug or vehicle (sc). The rats were placed individually in chambers for 1 h for acclimatization purpose before the administration of the test drug, standard drug, or vehicle. Immediately after administration of drug or vehicle, animals were individually placed in versamax animal activity monitor chamber ( $45 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (AccuScan Instruments, Inc., Columbus, OH ) to start measuring locomotor activity. Locomotion was monitored for 6 h . Consecutive interruption of two infrared beams situated 24 cm apart and 4 cm above the cage floor in the monitor chamber recorded movement. The data were presented as horizontal counts (HACTV). The effect of the individual doses of drugs on locomotor activity was compared with respect to saline treated controls (mean $\pm$ SEM). The data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's post hoc test. The effect was considered significant if the difference from control group was observed at $p<0.05$.

In Vivo Rotational Experiment with 6-OHDA Lesioned Rats. The first 14 days post lesion challenge with apomorphine was done with lesioned animals to observe a complete rotation session post administration. In the second challenge with apomorphine $(0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) 21$ days post lesion, contralateral rotations were recorded for 30 min ; apomorphine produced rotations in all four rats (average rotation $>250$ ), indicating successful unilateral lesion. In these rats, lesion was performed on the left side of the medial forebrain bundle in the brain and the coordinates used from Bregma are: AP-4.3, ML +1.2, DV
-8.3 . The rotations produced upon agonist challenge occurring clockwise. In this study, apomorphine was also used as a reference compound. The test drugs including ropinirole were dissolved in saline and were administered sc. The rats were brought to the test room 1 h before the administration of the test drug, standard drug, or vehicle for acclimatization purpose. The rotations were measured over 7-10 h. For control, vehicle was administered alone. Rotations were measured in the Rotomax rotometry system (AccuScan Instruments, Inc., Columbus, Ohio) equipped with Rotomax analyzer, high resolution sensor, and animal chambers with harnesses. Data were analyzed with Rotomax Windows software program. Test drugs 24c (5 and 10 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), 24b ( 5 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), and ropinirole ( 5 and 10 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) dissolved in saline were administered sc. The rotations were measured in a rotational chamber immediately after administration of drugs. The data were collected at every 30 min. Data were analyzed by Graph Pad (Version 4, San Diego) program. All drugs produced contralateral rotations in all lesioned rats, which lasted over 3-10 h.
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